



## HIGH COURT CASE STUDY



## AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION CENTRE

# High Court overturns 200 years of common law

*Mabo v Queensland (No 2) (1992)*

### Facts of the case

The Murray Islands are a group of three islands (Mer, Dauar and Waier) that lie in the Torres Strait in Northern Queensland.

The Meriam people have occupied these islands for thousands of years. However, under Australian law they had no rights to land ownership.

In 1982, Eddie Mabo and other members of the Meriam people brought an action in the High Court claiming that Queensland's sovereignty over the Islands was subject to the land rights ('native title') of the Meriam people.


Before the matter was heard by the Court, the Queensland Parliament passed the *Queensland Coast Islands Declaratory Act 1985 (Qld)* which stated that the Murray Islands were held by the Crown (Queensland Government) and was free from all other rights, interests and claims.

However, the High Court by majority decided that the Queensland Act was inconsistent with the *Commonwealth Racial Discrimination Act 1975* and therefore invalid under section 109 of the Constitution.

After the Queensland Act was declared invalid, the matter returned to the High Court in 1991 for hearing.

### Issues considered by the court

The Court had to decide whether the Meriam people owned rights and interests in land. If these rights existed were they recognised by and enforceable under Australian law.



Eddie Mabo at his home on Mer, Murray Islands. Source: National Archives of Australia

### Decision

The High Court by a majority of six to one declared that the Meriam people were entitled to possession, occupation, use and enjoyment of the Murray Islands ("native title").

The decision recognised a form of native title which reflected the entitlement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander inhabitants, in accordance with their laws or customs, to their traditional lands. The Court also held that native title was extinguished where the Crown granted or took an interest in the land which was inconsistent with native title.



## Background to the case

Murray Island dancers. Source: Frank Hurley, National Library of Australia

When the British colonists arrived and settled in Australia, they considered it was "terra nullius" (nobody's land). However, in the *Mabo* case, Brennan J said that this "fiction" had "no place in the contemporary law of this country". The rights and interests of Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander peoples in their land therefore "survived". The London Missionary Society came to the Murray Islands in about 1871. The Murray Islands were subsequently annexed to the Queensland Government in 1879. In 1882, the Queensland Government "reserved" the Island of Mer for the Meriam people and granted a lease of two acres on Mer to the London Missionary Society. Native title has been extinguished on this leased land.

*'There is no real appreciation of the vitriol, intolerance and scaremongering that went on in the debate on Mabo'*

Former Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs Minister Robert Tickner on 1 January 2017.

One of the *Mabo* scare campaigns revolved around people thinking that their homes and farms would be taken away through native title. The truth is that native title is extinguished on such properties.

*Mabo* overturned nearly 200 years of settled law that did not recognise the prior occupation of Australia by its Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Many more claims came before the Court.

## Did you know?

- The decision to bring a High Court challenge was made after Eddie Mabo gave a speech about the need to recognise land ownership for Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander peoples at a conference at James Cook University in 1981.
- The High Court took over a year to hand down its judgment after hearing the *Mabo* case in May 1991, by which time Eddie Mabo had died.



Chief Justice Mason bench. Source: High Court of Australia